



Descriptive Document

European tender by open procedure for the supply and commissioning of nautical radars.

Project: National Procurement of Nautical Radars

Publication date:	21 July 2025
Status:	Final
Case number:	31211138

Table of contents

Definitions	4
1. Introduction	5
1.1 Contracting Authority	5
1.2 Reason for this tender.....	6
1.3 Market Consultation.....	6
1.4 Planning.....	6
2. Description of the engagement	8
2.1 Description and purpose of the contract	8
2.2 Lots	8
2.3 Term of the Agreement	8
2.4 Scope of the assignment	9
3. Requirements regarding the contract.....	10
3.1 Requirements regarding the contract	10
Requirements regarding data protection	10
4. Requirements regarding the Tenderer.....	12
4.1 Grounds for Exclusion	12
4.2 Insider information and conflicts of interest	12
4.3 Suitability Requirements	12
4.3.1 <i>Financial and economic capacity</i>	13
4.3.2 <i>Technical and professional competence</i>	13
4.3.2.1 <i>Core competences</i>	13
4.3.2.2 <i>Quality Management</i>	14
5. Award criteria	15
5.1 Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria	15
5.2 Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding quality	15
Lot A, Offshore and Coastal radars.....	15
Lot B, River and Gap Filler	16
5.2.1 <i>Quality criterion 2 Low maintenance/sustainability</i>	17
5.2.2 <i>Quality criterion 3 Managing Interfaces</i>	18
5.2.3 <i>Quality criterion 3 Road map/innovation</i>	19
5.3 Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion price, 'Framework Agreement price'	20
6. Review.....	22
6.1 Review of completeness and validity of Tender Bid	22
6.2 Review of the grounds for exclusion and suitability	22
6.3 Review based on the requirements of the contract.....	22
6.4 Review based on the award criteria	22
6.4.1 <i>Determination of the score per quality criterion:</i>	23
6.4.2 <i>Determine score for the Best Price Ratio criterion price</i>	24
6.4.3 <i>Review based on the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price</i>	24
6.4.4 <i>Determination of total final score</i>	25
6.4.5 <i>Provisional award decision stating On Site Demonstration (OSD)</i>	25

6.5	Review of supporting documents	25
7.	Tender procedure, requirements and conditions	26
7.1	Statement of agreement	26
7.2	Planning	26
7.3	<i>TenderNed</i>	26
7.4	<i>Questions, enquiries and complaints</i>	26
7.5	<i>Term of Validity and Tender Bid</i>	27
7.6	<i>Variants</i>	27
7.7	<i>Costs regarding a Tender Bid</i>	27
7.8	<i>Termination of the tender</i>	27
7.9	<i>Order of priority of documents</i>	28
7.10	<i>Environmental, social and labour law obligations</i>	28
7.11	<i>Submission of the Tender Bid</i>	28
7.12	<i>Form and content of the Tender Bid</i>	29
7.13	<i>European Single Procurement Document</i>	30
7.14	<i>Submitting a Tender Bid as a group</i>	30
7.15	<i>Submitting a Tender Bid while relying on the capacity of one or more third parties</i>	31
7.16	<i>Once-only Tender Bid</i>	31
7.17	<i>Communication and Language</i>	32
7.18	<i>General terms and conditions</i>	32
7.19	<i>Agreement</i>	32
7.20	<i>Explanation and verification of the Tender Bid</i>	32
7.21	<i>Public Administration (Probity Screening) Act</i>	32
7.22	Demonstrating radar suitability	32
7.22.1	Specifications to be provided	32
7.23	On Site Demonstration (OSD)	33
7.24	<i>Invalidation</i>	35
7.25	<i>Notification of the award decision</i>	35
7.26	Supplementary award under the Framework Agreement	36
7.26.1	<i>Assignments within the Framework Agreement</i>	36
7.26.2	<i>Award of contracts within the Framework Agreement</i>	37
	Enclosures	39

Definitions

Contracting Authority	Rijkswaterstaat ("RWS"), agency and implementing organisation of the Ministry of Infrastructure and Water Management.
Public Procurement Act 2012 Descriptive document Economically most advantageous tender bid	This document, including appendices. The Tender Bid that achieved the highest overall final score based on the best price/quality ratio.
Suitability requirements	The requirements that the Contracting Authority sets on a Tenderer to be able to determine whether a Tenderer is capable of performing the public contract.
Award Decision	The Award Decision within the meaning of Article 1.1 of the Public Procurement Act 2012
Tenderer	The business owner or owners who have submitted or intend to submit a Tender Bid.
Tender Bid	A tender bid by a Tenderer for the object of this Descriptive Document.
RWS CIV	Rijkswaterstaat Centrale Informatievoorziening, a national organisational unit of RWS.
Summary of Additional Information and Changes	A document containing questions with anonymised answers.
Contracting Authority	The State of the Netherlands, represented by the Minister of Infrastructure and Water Management, which enters into the Agreement with the Contractor on behalf of Rijkswaterstaat.
Contractor	The party with which the Contracting Authority enters into the Agreement.
(Framework) Agreement	The written framework agreement between the Contracting Authority and the Contractor setting out the terms and conditions of the public contracts to be awarded (Further Agreements) for a specified period.
Supplementary Agreement	The written agreement entered into between Contracting Authority and Contractor on the basis of the framework agreement.
Ground for Exclusion	A circumstance inherent to the Tenderer or relating to the person of the Tenderer that may lead to the Tenderer's exclusion from participation in the procurement procedure.
Provisional Award Decision	This is the award decision after review of the award criteria. The award is pending the conduct and reporting of the On Site Demonstration (OSD). After acceptance of the OSD report, the award decision follows.
European Single Procurement Document	A Tenderer's statement in which they indicate, in part, whether grounds for exclusion apply to them and whether they satisfy the specified suitability requirements.

1. Introduction

This Descriptive Document contains information on the European tender by open procedure for the supply and commissioning of nautical radars. The procedure is subject to the Public Procurement Act. The definitions of the Public Procurement Act therefore apply. The procurement procedure will be conducted electronically through TenderNed.

The Tenderer is invited to submit a Tender Bid based on this Descriptive Document.

1.1 Contracting Authority

This procurement procedure is being carried out by Rijkswaterstaat Central Information Services (*Rijkswaterstaat Centrale Informatievoorziening*)

Rijkswaterstaat Central Information Services

Rijkswaterstaat Central Information Services (hereinafter RWS CIV) is responsible for the development and availability of data, details and information within Rijkswaterstaat. RWS CIV provides industrial automation for bridges, tunnels, motorways and other objects. In addition, RWS CIV provides office automation for Rijkswaterstaat.


Development Services Department

The Development Services Department (*directie Ontwikkeling Services; OSR*) is responsible for information provision services, including renovations and the major renewal programmes, within the established frameworks and using generic building blocks. OSR provides automated data and information services through applications. In addition, by developing and managing these services, OSR effectively arranges for the integration of data and IT into comprehensive information provision.

Rijkswaterstaat

RWS CIV is a national organisational unit of Rijkswaterstaat. Rijkswaterstaat is the implementing organisation of the Ministry of Infrastructure and Water Management. Rijkswaterstaat manages and develops the motorways, waterways and bodies of water and is committed to a sustainable living environment. Together with other parties, RWS works to create a country that is protected against flooding, where there is sufficient green space, an adequate supply of clean water, and where we can travel quickly and safely from point A to point B. Working together for a safe, liveable and accessible Netherlands. That is that Rijkswaterstaat stands for. For more information, please see www.rijkswaterstaat.nl.

RWS's organisation can be diagrammed as follows:



1.2 Reason for this tender

Rijkswaterstaat makes use of nautical radar systems. In recent years, these nautical radar systems have been purchased piecemeal and ad hoc by various departments of Rijkswaterstaat. With this tender, Rijkswaterstaat wishes to procure all its nautical radar systems more efficiently and centrally by a specialised and expert project team.

This allows for a better multi-year response to users' needs within projects and market developments. Where possible, we can also steer towards as much national uniformity as possible and the use of open standards and interfaces. The latter to avoid RWS becoming too dependent (across the chain) on one market party.

Therefore, Rijkswaterstaat's objective is to give substance to the realisation of an RWS-wide future-proof framework agreement (hereinafter the "Framework Agreement") for the procurement of nautical radar systems. This tender can therefore be summarised as the central procurement of nautical radars with the focus only on supply and commissioning.

As a starting point, the Framework Agreement will enter into force no later than 15 December 2025.

1.3 Market Consultation

Two market consultations took place, for which we refer to TenderNed. See the links below:

Procurement scenario presented in market exploration (2024):

See: <https://www.tenderned.nl/aankondigingen/overzicht/346293>

Market consultation April/May 2025

See: <https://www.tenderned.nl/aankondigingen/overzicht/374957>

1.4 Planning

The schedule below applies to this procurement procedure.

21 July 2025	Publication of the announcement of the contract, start of tender period
15 August 2025	Register for the information meeting by Friday, August 15th. (See section 7.4)
18 August 2025	Send invitations for the information meeting by Monday, August 18 at the latest (See section 7.4)
19 August 2025	Information meeting at RWS CIV, Derde Werelddreef 1, 2622 HA Delft from 14:00 to 15:30. Please note: attendees must bring valid identification.
09 September 2025 14.00 hours	Deadline for the Tenderer to ask questions regarding this Descriptive Document and for the Tenderer to submit text proposals for the draft Agreement (including ARBIT-2022)
17 September 2025	Publication of Summary of Additional Information
01 October 2025 14.00 hours	Deadline for submission and receipt of Tender Bids
01 to 07 October 2025	Review of Tender Bids
08 October	Provisional award decision based on a successful On site demonstration (OSD) (See section 7.23).
09 October 2025 to 24 October 2025	perform and report OSD (See section 7.23). After successfully completed OSD, official award decision follows.
14 November 2025	Dispatch of the announcement of the award decision
04 December 2025	Deadline for seeking preliminary relief regarding the announcement of the award decision
15 December 2025	Commencement date Agreement
16 December 2025	Invitation for tender 1 st quotations for the benefit of Further Agreements

If circumstances so warrant in Rijkswaterstaat's opinion, it may change the aforementioned deadline(s). In that event, the new deadline(s) will be announced in a timely manner. The schedule in TenderNed will be considered valid.

2. Description of the engagement

This chapter includes information regarding the contract. In determining the contract, Rijkswaterstaat considered the scope and complexity of the contract, the number of potential tenderers, the transaction costs and the nature of the market.

2.1 Description and purpose of the contract

The decentralised procurement of nautical radar systems in recent decades has created an unclear volume of agreements. The decentralised approach is neither efficient towards the organisation nor effective towards the market. Therefore, RWS's objective is to give substance to the realisation of an RWS-wide future-proof framework agreement for the procurement of nautical radar systems. Through this Framework Agreement, 2 suppliers will be selected to be invited for a mini-competition at each Further Agreement.

The nautical radars are divided into four categories for the tender:

- Offshore radar systems;
- Coastal radar systems;
- River radar systems;
- Gap Filler radar systems.

Scope

The scope of Lot A covers the supply including commissioning of:

- Offshore radar systems;
- Coastal radar systems;

The scope of Lot B covers the supply including the commissioning of:

- River radar systems;
- Gap Filler radar systems.

Out of scope:

- Management and maintenance. RWS has chosen to include this in a separate tender.
- Supply of spare parts. (taken into account in management and maintenance)
- Supply of CCTV and Marine VHF radio.
- System parts that interface with radar, such as;
 - o Power supply
 - o Network facilities
 - o Unmanned Radar Post housing
 - o Air conditioning (heating and cooling)
 - o Sensors: temperature, fire, door position, intrusion. View
 - o Monitoring system household alerts (non-radar related alerts)
 - o Hydro, meteo, AIS, RDF, and ODS
 - o Emergency power supply
 - o Anti-climb protection
 - o Fencing, access road, green area

2.2 Lots

The tender is divided into two lots, namely Lot A and Lot B (see section 2.1). RWS wants a balanced distribution of nautical radar systems by category. The categories that are similar in terms of execution are bundled due to their nature and type. This leads to better product comparison and improved market operation.

A Tenderer may tender for both lots and be awarded one or both lots. For each lot, each Contractor must be able to supply and commission both types of radar system.

2.3 Term of the Agreement

The Contracting Authority intends to enter into a Framework Agreement regarding two lots with a term of four years.

RWS has made an estimate of the decline for the coming years. This is incorporated in the table below from which no further rights can be derived.

Expected decline per year						
Lot	Type	2025	2026	2027	2028	Total
A	Offshore	2	2	2	2	8
	Coastal	0	0	1	1	2
B	River	2	16	1	9	28
	Gap Filler	0	10	1	1	12
Total		4	28	5	13	50

The Contracting Authority wishes to enter into a Framework Agreement with two Tenderers per lot.

2.4 Scope of the assignment

RWS assumes an indicative total contract value of EUR 23,800,000.00 excluding VAT for both lots.

Due to, for example, political, budgetary, administrative or organisational developments, it is possible for the scope and/or value of the Framework Agreement to change. The order size/value of the Framework Agreement to be concluded is capped at a total amount of EUR 37,380,000.00 excluding VAT.

The estimate or maximum financial value per lot for these Framework Agreements is shown in the table below.

Size of contract per lot (amounts excluding VAT)

Lot	Estimated total contract value	Maximum financial value
A	EUR 13,200,000	EUR 18,360,000
B	EUR 10,600,000	EUR 19,020,000
Total	EUR 23,800,000	EUR 37,380,000

The indicative value does not represent guaranteed turnover. It is an indication from which no rights may be derived.

This Descriptive Document was prepared based on current knowledge and insights.

Request SUPPLEMENTARY AGREEMENT ON LOT A: immediately after signing Framework Agreement due to critical time frame

RWS needs 2 offshore radars immediately after signing the Framework Agreement. This is because of agreed timelines with regard to the Maritime Information Provision Service Point (MIVSP) project in the cooperation with TenneT and the Ministry of Economic Affairs.

As a result, RWS intends to submit an invitation to tender (Further Agreement) for 2 offshore radars immediately after signing the Framework Agreement. Tenderers are asked to prepare this tender.

Request SUPPLEMENTARY AGREEMENT ON LOT B: immediately after signing Framework Agreement due to critical time frame

RWS needs 10 River radars and 4 Gap Fillers immediately after signing the ROK. The final distribution may differ by 1 or 2; at the moment this is still under discussion at RWS internally.

As a result, the project team intends to submit an invitation to tender (Further Agreement) for 10 River radars and 4 Gap Fillers immediately after signing the Framework Agreement. Tenderers are asked to prepare this tender.

3. Requirements regarding the contract

This chapter includes the requirements RWS sets for the requested supplies.

By submitting a Tender Bid, accompanied by the "Statement of Agreement" (*Akkoordverklaring*) Appendix F, the Tenderer expressly agrees to all the requirements and conditions set out in this chapter or the appendices referred to herein and declares that it will continue to comply with them throughout the performance period of the Agreement entered into with it. If this is not or no longer the case, the Tenderer must notify RWS in writing immediately.

3.1 Requirements regarding the contract

The requirements relating to the contract are included in the tender specification requirements (VSE) and tender specification processes (VSP) divided into the following appendices:

Appendix	description	Applicable to lot
01	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar Systems of category Offshore	A
01.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category Offshore	A
02	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar systems of category Coastal	A
02.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category Coastal	A
03	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar Systems of category River	B
03.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category River Radar	B
04	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar Systems of category Gap Filler	B
04.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category Gap Filler	B
07	Tender Specification Process (VSP) Radars	A and B
07.en	Tender Specification Process (VSP) Radars	A and B

Requirements regarding data protection

Security is an integral part of RWS's Information Provision and the goal is to ensure the availability, integrity, confidentiality and verifiability of the Information Provision. Information Provision is defined as all of the resources, data sets and organisational systems that serve to provide information. The Government Information Security Baseline (*Baseline Informatiebeveiliging Overheid*) prescribes the basic level of information security for the central government. The Government Information Security Baseline provides a single system of standards for the security of the Government's Information Provision (*Informatievoorziening*).

The requirements regarding data protection are included in the tender specification.

4. Requirements regarding the Tenderer

This chapter includes the requirements that RWS sets for determining whether a Tenderer is capable of performing the Agreement. Grounds for Exclusion and Suitability Requirements are used for this purpose.

Through the "European Single Procurement Document", the Tenderer indicates whether or not the Grounds for Exclusion apply to it and whether it satisfies the Suitability Requirements.

4.1 Grounds for Exclusion

RWS will exclude a Tenderer if one or more grounds for exclusion apply to the Tenderer, unless RWS opts to admit the Tenderer to the tendering procedure on the basis of Articles 2.86a, 2.87a or 2.88 of the Public Procurement Act.

The "European Single Procurement Document" Appendix G lists the mandatory grounds for exclusion and the optional grounds for exclusion declared applicable by RWS.

For the purposes of the "Serious professional error" exclusion ground, an ACM fine is in any event considered a serious professional error, or an indication thereof.

The following supporting documents are requested for verification (may be submitted when submitting the tender bid, but do not have to be provided until requested by RWS):

- Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement
- Payment history report regarding performance of tax obligations from the Tax and Customs Administration

PLEASE NOTE: it is important to take the time required for processing of requests for a Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement and Payment history report regarding performance of tax obligations from the Tax and Customs Administration into account.

The Tenderer is responsible for ensuring that the Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement and Payment history report regarding performance of tax obligations from the Tax and Customs Administration is applied for in time to be able to meet the submission deadline. (If one or more third parties are engaged, a Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement and Payment history report regarding performance of tax obligations from the Tax and Customs Administration must also be submitted for these third parties). On the deadline for receipt of the Tender Bids, the Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement may not be older than two years and the Payment history report regarding performance of tax obligations from the Tax and Customs Administration may not be older than six months.

For foreign Tenderers, if there is no equivalent certificate in the country where a foreign company has its registered office it may make a sworn or solemn declaration before a competent judicial or administrative authority, a civil-law notary, or a competent professional body of that country and submit it to RWS.

4.2 Insider information and conflicts of interest

The guidelines of RWS to prevent insider information and conflicts of interest, as included in the "Policy Document on Separation of Interests" Appendix 12, and the "Policy against conflicts of interest in tendering" dated 14 September 2007, apply in full to this procurement procedure.

If a Tenderer is or was involved in the preparation of the contract, insider information and/or a conflict of interest is presumed to exist. RWS will give the Tenderer the opportunity to rebut this presumption, to RWS's satisfaction, and to demonstrate that fair competition is not harmed by the current or previous involvement. A business owner may be excluded from participating in the procurement procedure if the aforementioned presumption is not rebutted.

4.3 Suitability Requirements

This section contains the suitability requirements for the Tenderer. The Tenderer must satisfy these requirements. If not, the Tender Bid will be disregarded.

By means of the "European Single Procurement Document" Appendix G, the Tenderer declares that it satisfies the Suitability Requirements as set out in this section of the Descriptive Document and will continue to satisfy them during the term of the Agreement.

4.3.1 Financial and economic capacity

The Tenderer must have sufficient financial and economic capacity to perform the obligations arising from the Agreement. RWS-CIV has no further requirements regarding financial and economic capacity.

4.3.2 Technical and professional competence

RWS has set the following requirements for testing technical and professional competence.

4.3.2.1 Core competences

RWS has established the following core competences for both lots regarding experience in key areas of the contract.

Lot A and B

Core competence 1: Supplying nautical radar systems

Core competence 1 has the following elements that are included in the assessment:

- The Tenderer has appropriate expertise and experience in relation to the supply of radar systems with a size of at least EUR 500,000 (excluding VAT).

Core competence 1 must be demonstrated through:

- Reference

Core competence 2: Commissioning of nautical radar sensors

Core competence 2 has the following elements that are included in the assessment:

- The Tenderer has the right expertise to competently commission all radars. This requires the Tenderer to have the knowledge and expertise and (digital) tools to set all the parameters of the radar. The Tenderer is capable of fine tuning the radar. Fine tuning means obtaining the best image quality and object detection under specific conditions in the area where the radar is located. In specific locations, fine tuning to a very high level may be needed to achieve the desired radar image. The Tenderer has the knowledge and expertise to carry out this fine tuning.

Core competence 2 must be demonstrated through:

- Reference

The Tenderer must have performed one reference contract per core competence listed above that satisfies the following requirements:

- The subject of the reference assignment must be equivalent to the relevant core competence.
- The reference contract must have been performed or completed within the past three years before the publication date of this tender on TenderNed.
- If a contract is used that has not yet been completed in full, only the actual results of the current agreement may be stated. Forecasts of results will not be considered sufficient.
- The reference contract must have been performed properly in terms of both the method of execution and the result. Not only the end result, but also the method of execution is relevant.

Multiple references are allowed only if these references complement each other to demonstrate the relevant core competence as a whole, but no more than three reference contracts may be submitted for this purpose.

For each reference contract, why the reference(s) is relevant to the current contract must be substantiated. This must include:

- Which core competences or parts of core competences are covered.

- A description of work performed and results achieved by the business owner.

The following supporting documents are requested for verification purposes (provide upon submitting the Tender Bid)

- Appendices H and I 'References Core competences 1 and 2'

The Tenderer will use Appendices H and I 'References Core Competences 1 and 2' for each reference contract. If one reference demonstrates multiple core competences that satisfy the requirements set, the Tenderer may use the same reference for those core competences.

Additionally, the reference(s) for core competence 1 must show that they meet the minimum amount of EUR 500,000.

By providing a reference, the Tenderer gives permission to RWS to check references for accuracy and contact one or more references without the intervention and/or permission of the Tenderer.

4.3.2.2 Quality Management

The Tenderer must use a quality management system that satisfies the NEN-EN-ISO 9001 standard that applies for the performance of the contract. The Tenderer must therefore use a quality management system that satisfies with the NEN-EN-ISO 9001 standard with a scope of application that covers the subject of this tender.

The following supporting documents are requested for verification purposes (provide upon submitting the Tender Bid)

The Tenderer must demonstrate this by:

- submitting a copy of a valid NEN-EN-ISO 9001 certificate issued by conformity assessment bodies that comply with the European standards series for certification;

OR

- equivalent certificates from bodies established in other Member States of the European Union;

OR

- other supporting documents regarding equivalent quality management measures if the Tenderer is unable to acquire the certificate within the deadlines set for reasons beyond its control. The Tenderer must prove that the proposed quality control measures comply with the NEN-EN-ISO 9001 quality standard through an audit report.

5. Award criteria

This chapter includes the award criteria, which are the criteria on the basis of which a Tender Bid will be assessed. The Tenderer must take the requirements set in chapter 3 into account in its Tender Bid.

The criterion for awarding the Agreement is the “economically most advantageous tender bid with the best price/quality ratio” (hereinafter: Best Price/Quality Ratio).

The Tenderer must attach the elaboration regarding the Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.

5.1 Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria

The Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion is broken down into two Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria as shown in the table below.

No.	Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria	Weight
1	Quality	60%
2	Price	40%

5.2 Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding quality

The Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding quality is divided into quality criteria per lot per category.

Lot A, Offshore and Coastal radars

Lot A, weighting quality criteria category Offshore

Number	Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion	Weight	Description
Criterion 2	Quality	65%	Low maintenance / Sustainability
Criterion 3	Quality	25%	Manage interfaces
Criterion 4	Quality	10%	Road map/innovation
Total		100%	

Lot A, weighting quality criteria category Coastal

Number	Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion	Weight	Description
Criterion 2	Quality	100%	Low maintenance / Sustainability
Total		100%	

Explanation of elaboration lot A.

The Tenderer will receive an overall score on the quality criteria for each lot.

Quality criterion 2 Low Maintenance/Sustainability is broken down into 80% for Offshore and 20% for Coastal. This is because of the difference between the 2 types of radars, the number requested.

Quality criterion 3 Manage interfaces and quality criterion 4 Road map/innovation are generic criteria and are therefore placed under Offshore because of the number of radars.

In summary, the above leads to the following weighting.

Category	Description criterion	Weight	Score
Offshore	Price	32%	320
	Low maintenance / Sustainability	31%	310
	Manage interfaces	12%	120
	Road map/innovation	5%	50
Coastal	Price	8%	80
	Low maintenance / Sustainability	12%	120
		100%	1000 points

The sum of the quality criteria is 60% or 600 points.

Lot B, River and Gap Filler

Lot B, weighting quality criteria category River

Number	Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion	Weight	Description
Criterion 2	Quality	65%	Low maintenance / Sustainability
Criterion 3	Quality	25%	Manage interfaces
Criterion 4	Quality	10%	Road map/innovation
Total		100%	

Lot B, weighting quality criteria category Gap filler

Number	Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion	Weight	Description
Criterion 2	Quality	100%	Low maintenance / Sustainability
Total		100%	

Explanation of elaboration lot B.

The elaboration is identical to lot A, but for River radars (80%) and Gap Filler (20%).

This is in line with the procurement given the difference between the 2 types of radars, the number requested. See the table in section 2.8 above.

In summary, the above leads to the following weighting.

Category	Description criterion	Weight	Score
River	Price	32%	320
	Low maintenance / Sustainability	31%	310
	Manage interfaces	12%	120
	Road map/innovation	5%	50
Gap Filler	Price	8%	80
	Low maintenance / Sustainability	12%	120
		100%	1000 points

The sum of the quality criteria is 60% or 600 points.

5.2.1 Quality criterion 2 Low maintenance/sustainability

Objective related to the quality criterion: To promote sustainability in terms of maintenance, energy consumption and materials in the supply and maintenance of radar systems.

RWS realises the following with this criterion;

Reduced transport movements of people and equipment to offshore platforms and inland sites.

Higher availability in the navigation guidance process.

Fewer spare parts needed.

Points to note:

- This criterion must be completed for both categories per Lot.
- The Tenderer must describe its implementation of the quality criteria with such detail and clarity that RWS will be able to assess whether the performance to be rendered by the Tenderer is in line with RWS's contract.

No.	Scoring element (Offshore and River max. 320 points Coastal and Gapfiller max. 120 points)	Description of the scoring element
1	Maintenance frequency per year	The Tenderer describes the items below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of visits for preventive maintenance per year must be specified. b. This must include a total number of visits for preventive maintenance over a period of 15 years. c. This must include a justification showing the number of visits. For example, a prescribed maintenance schedule. Note that without point c, RWS assumes a frequency of 6x per year.
2	Mean Time Between Critical Failure (MTBCF)	The Tenderer describes the following items: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The MTBCF in hours. b. This must include a justification of how the value was determined. Note that without point b, RWS assumes a MTBCF of 8,760 hours.
3	Overhaul of parts	The Tenderer describes the following items: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. all parts whose acquisition costs exceed EUR 10,000 excl. VAT. b. For each part, it must be indicated how often it must be replaced during the 15-year term. This must include a justification of how the value was determined. c. Dimensions and weight must be indicated for each part. Note that without point Please note: without the substantiation in point b, RWS assumes 10 revisions.

Requirements for the elaboration of this criterion:

- For this criterion, the Tenderer must submit a description of no more than 4 A4 pages, using the Verdana 9pt font, with a minimum line spacing of 12 pt and minimum margins of 2.5 cm at the top and bottom and 3 cm on the left and right.
- See Appendix C Completion document criterion 2 Low maintenance/sustainability
- The scoring elements must be completed per lot and for both categories separately.

The following is evaluated:

- Scoring elements 1 to 3 result in a number of visits to the installation site, which are required over a period of 15 years. The final score depends on this number of visits.

- The stated numbers must be substantiated.

5.2.2 Quality criterion 3 Managing Interfaces

Quality criterion objective:

Managing risks allocated to RWS in terms of installation, integration and maintenance of the radar systems supplied. It is imperative that the various parties work well together.

Points to note:

- Description of the key execution risks in installing and integrating the radar systems;
- Description of the main implementation risks in the management and maintenance of radar systems;
- Description of the management measures taken by the contractor and their effectiveness;
- The manner in which the contractor will contribute to successfully mitigating the described risks.

No.	Scoring element	Description of the scoring element
1	The three interfaces are mentioned and described (briefly). Max 1 point.	The Tenderer names at least the relevant interfaces: supply-installation; installation-commissioning; commissioning-integration
2	Naming risks relating to interfaces. Max 1 point.	The Tenderer identifies the risks associated with the above-mentioned interfaces.
3	Interface with installation. Max 2 points.	The Tenderer specifies specific measures per risk that the Tenderer can use to mitigate the risks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Level playing field: current contractor installation and integration cannot use this as an advantage
4	Interface with integration. Max 2 points.	The Tenderer specifies specific measures per risk that the Tenderer can use to mitigate the risks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Level playing field: current contractor installation and integration cannot use this as an advantage
5	Interface with management and maintenance. Max 2 points.	The Tenderer specifies specific measures per risk that the Tenderer can use to mitigate the risks <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Level playing field: current contractor installation and integration cannot use this as an advantage

The Tenderer must describe its implementation of the quality criteria with such detail and clarity that RWS will be able to assess whether the performance to be rendered by the Tenderer is in line with RWS's contract.

Requirements for the elaboration of this criterion:

- See Appendix D Completion document criterion 3 Managing Interfaces
- For this criterion, the Tenderer must submit a description of no more than 5 A4 pages, using the Verdana 9pt font, with a minimum line spacing of 12 pt and minimum margins of 2.5 cm at the top and bottom and 3 cm on the left and right.
- In doing so, the tenderer states:
 - which scoring element is involved.
 - the elaboration of the items.
- The Tenderer elaborates the following items for this criterion:
 - Measures: what measures will the tenderer take.
 - Result: what result will the measure(s) lead to and what is the contribution of the result to the relevant objective of RWS.
 - Substantiation: why will the tenderer realise this in this way (theoretical and/or technical explanation), with the tenderer demonstrating that the measures will work, the result will be achieved and will contribute to the objective.

The following is evaluated:

- The Tenderer can score a total of 8 points for this criterion.
Final score: points earned x 120/8

- The offered measures and results (performance) contribute to achieving the objective (effect of the offered measures and results)
- The measures and results (performance) offered are substantiated

5.2.3 Quality criterion 3 Road map/innovation

Objective related to this criterion:

This fits with better quality and service in the future. Sustainability aspects (such as energy consumption) are also taken into account here

- Road map for offered radars and any alternative radars.
The Tenderer is asked to prepare a road map for the next four years (the term of the Framework Agreement), listing planned improvements for the offered radars. Additionally, the Tenderer can provide insight into alternative radars that may be offered later in place of those currently offered.
- Wishes from the VSEs.
The Tenderer is asked to indicate in the roadmap whether wishes from the VSEs will be met with the planned improvements. A wish in this context is an intended requirement of RWS that is currently not technically feasible by enough market parties. These are the requirements VSE-102 (functionality), VSE-620 (sustainability), VSE-621 (sustainability), VSE-700 (cyber security) and VSE-702 (functionality). If the mentioned wishes have already been realised then this should also be mentioned.

No.	Scoring element	Description of the scoring element
1	<u>Specific</u> improvements: Actual improvements ahead and not merely an idea or abstraction Max 1 point.	The roadmap includes <u>specific</u> improvements, therefore not: 'various cyber security improvements' but rather '2FA for administrators'.
2	There is a release calendar linked to the above improvements, and it is down to the year level or more accurate. Max 1 point.	The roadmap includes a specific <u>release calendar</u> , at least down to the year level, so that it is clear when the improvements can be expected.
3	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-102, or VSE-102 is planned in the roadmap before 2029. Max 1 point.	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-102, or VSE-102 is planned in the roadmap before 2029.
4	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-620, or VSE-620 is planned in the roadmap before 2029. Max 1 point.	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-620, or VSE-620 is planned in the roadmap before 2029.
5	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-621, or VSE-621 is planned in the roadmap before 2029. Max 3 point.	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-621, or VSE-621 is planned in the roadmap before 2029.
6	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-700, or VSE-700 is planned in the roadmap before 2029. Max 1 point.	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-700, or VSE-700 is planned in the roadmap before 2029.
7	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-702, or VSE-702 is planned in the roadmap before 2029. Max 3 point.	At least one of the radars in the lot already complies with VSE-702, or VSE-702 is planned in the roadmap before 2029.
8	Technical innovations / Alternative radars	The roadmap lists one or more technical innovations that lead to savings and/or improved service for RWS. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Only innovations that do not conflict with requirements in the VSEs. - Excluding the wishes from the VSEs. - Both innovations on offered radars and alternative radars offered, leading to savings and/or improved service for RWS. - Only radars that meet the requirements in the VSEs.

The Tenderer must describe its implementation of the quality criteria with such detail and clarity that RWS will be able to assess whether the performance to be rendered by the Tenderer is in line with RWS's contract.

Requirements for the elaboration of this criterion:

- See Appendix E Completion document criterion 4 Road map / Innovation
- For this criterion, the Tenderer must submit a description of no more than 5 A4 pages, using the Verdana 9pt font, with a minimum line spacing of 12 pt and minimum margins of 2.5 cm at the top and bottom and 3 cm on the left and right.
- In doing so, the tenderer states:
 - which scoring element is involved.
 - the elaboration of the items.
- The Tenderer elaborates the following items for this criterion:
 - Result: what intended result does the scoring element in your Road map/innovation lead to and what is the possible positive contribution to it for RWS.

The following is evaluated:

- The Tenderer can score a total of 12 points for this criterion.
- Final score: points earned x 50/12
- The offered measures and results (performance) contribute to achieving the objective (effect of the offered measures and results)
 - The measures and results (performance) offered are substantiated

5.3 Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion price, 'Framework Agreement price'

For the purpose of an objective assessment of the tender bids, it was decided to prescribe a format (see Appendices A and B "Price Form Lots A and B"). These formats are mandatory and must be followed by the Tenderer.

For the price criterion, the Tenderer is asked to provide a so-called framework agreement price (hereinafter: Framework Agreement price). This Framework Agreement price must be completed for both categories per lot. A Framework Agreement price is the price for the supply and commissioning of 1 radar system.

The way the Best Price/Quality Ratio score is calculated on price is described in section 6.4.1 Review of Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion price.

The completion of the financial offer must satisfy the following requirements.

- The tenderer offers 1 radar per category;
- All prices and fees are in euros, excluding VAT and fixed 1 year;
- Indexing takes place based on the indexes below. The cost is fixed for the first year, from January 2027 they can be indexed annually thereafter;
- The supply of radar systems, among other things, is indexed on the basis of the CBS index 'Producer prices; output prices, industry SBI 2008, index 2015=100 > 26 Electrical engineering industry';
- The prices and rates include all costs required to complete the contract of 1 radar system. This includes costs for project management, development costs, transport costs, insurance costs, travel and accommodation costs, all administrative and office costs and all further additional costs and charges;
- The Tenderer must quote the prices and rates of its financial offer only in the prepared format and not in other documents.

Spare parts price list and hourly rates

The Tenderer will be asked to provide a price list. The Tenderer may submit it in its own format. The price list should be attached to the price form.

Spare parts

The spare parts are out of scope of this tender and will be included in the management and maintenance tender. It is currently not clear who the contractors will be for management and maintenance and which brands will be supplied. Therefore, no picture can be formed about the quantity, type and price of spare parts. This information can be obtained by requesting a price list.

Hourly rates

Hourly rates are beyond the scope of this tender. The Tenderer is asked to provide a list of hourly rates of the most common job profiles that RWS can use during the Framework Agreement. This price list will be used as input for the management and maintenance tender.

The hourly rates apply to the following positions:

- Engineer, responsible for commissioning, possibly split into standard and senior roles
- Radar specialist, responsible for fine-tuning
- QC/QA employee
- Project manager
- Administrative assistant (responsible for planning, among other things)

Points to note:

- The Tenderer is free to prepare the price list for spare parts and hourly rates as it sees fit;
- The price list for spare parts and hourly rates are NOT included in the review;
- No budget has been included in this tender for the spare parts.
- The price list can be included as part of the management and maintenance tender;
- The price list and hourly rates must be added to the Price List appendix.

6. Review

This chapter explains the review process.

Review instructions will have been prepared prior to the opening of the Tender Bids. These review instructions are an internal RWS document and will not be made available to the Tenderers. The information relevant to the Tenderers is set out in this Descriptive Document.

RWS bases its assessment on expert opinions. This entails that experts will form professional opinions based on their own knowledge and expertise. To ensure objectivity, assessment teams or subteams are composed in such a way that all relevant disciplines are represented in them. In this respect, for each part of the assessment, at least three persons with the necessary expertise will sit on the assessment team or subteam.

6.1 Review of completeness and validity of Tender Bid

On the basis of the documents provided with the Tender Bid, Rijkswaterstaat will assess whether the Tender Bid satisfies all the conditions and requirements set out in this document.

The Tenderer may be excluded from further participation in this procurement procedure and the Tender Bid will not be evaluated further if the conditions and requirements are not satisfied, unless a remedy is permitted within the limits of procurement law.

6.2 Review of the grounds for exclusion and suitability

Grounds for Exclusion

RWS will exclude a Tenderer if one or more grounds for exclusion apply to the Tenderer, unless RWS opts to admit the Tenderer to the tendering procedure on the basis of Articles 2.86a, 2.87a or 2.88 of the Public Procurement Act. For more information, see chapter 4.

Suitability Requirements

The assessors will first assess the tender bids separately in accordance with the instructions included in the assessment instruction. Following this, the assessors will discuss the Tender Bids jointly in order to arrive plenary at a carefully considered assessment by consensus.

Tenderers who do not satisfy one or more suitability requirements will be excluded from the remainder of the procedure. The suitability requirements are described in chapter 4.

6.3 Review based on the requirements of the contract

Tender Bids that do not satisfy the requirements of the contract will be excluded from further participation in the tender.

6.4 Review based on the award criteria

The Tender Bids that are not excluded will be assessed on the basis of the Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria (see chapter 5). The Economically most advantageous Tender Bid is the Tender Bid with the highest total end score.

Review based on the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding quality

Each assessor will first assess the tender bids separately in accordance with the instructions included in the assessment instruction. Following this, the assessors will discuss the Tender Bids jointly to assign one carefully considered score for each scoring element by consensus.

If consensus cannot be reached for a scoring element, the arithmetic average of the scores awarded separately by the members will be determined and used as the score by consensus for that scoring element.

The quality criteria indicate the assessment framework applied and the weighting factor for each scoring element. Members of the assessment team, in assessing the above scoring elements, use the table below.

Score table	
Score	Explanation
0	The Tenderer does not address or only barely addresses the requested concerns in a substantive, relevant and applicable manner.
1	The quality of the elaboration is limited. The Tenderer only partially addresses the requested concerns in a substantive, relevant and applicable manner. The elaboration has limited substantiation and relates to some extent to RWS's contract. The elaboration conforms to the quality criterion to a limited extent.
2	The quality of the elaboration is good. The Tenderer addresses the requested concerns in a substantive, relevant and applicable manner. The elaboration is properly substantiated and clearly relates to RWS's contract. The elaboration conforms to the quality criterion well.
3	The quality of the elaboration is very high. The Tenderer addresses the requested concerns very well in a substantive, relevant and applicable manner. The elaboration is substantiated very well and relates excellently RWS's contract. The elaboration conforms to the quality criterion very well and exceeds expectations.

If more pages than the maximum number of pages allowed are submitted for the elaboration of the relevant quality criterion, only the first page up to the last page of the maximum number of pages allowed will be assessed (e.g. any cover page and/or table of contents will not be counted).

6.4.1 Determination of the score per quality criterion:

Quality Criterion 2 Low Maintenance/sustainability, Offshore and River Radar: the Tenderer with the highest score for this criterion will be awarded the maximum number of points (310 points).

The remaining Tenderers are given a rating related to the highest score for the criterion according to the following formula:

$$310 * (\text{Low Maintenance Tenderer score}) / (\text{highest Low Maintenance score}).$$

Quality Criterion 2 Low Maintenance/sustainability, Coastal and Gap Filler: the Tenderer with the highest score for this criterion will be awarded the maximum number of points (120 points).

The remaining Tenderers are given a rating related to the highest score for the criterion according to the following formula:

$$120 * (\text{Low Maintenance Tenderer score}) / (\text{highest Low Maintenance score}).$$

Quality Criterion 3 Managing of Interfaces: the Tenderer with the highest score for this criterion will be awarded the maximum number of points (120 points).

The remaining Tenderers are given a rating related to the highest score for the criterion according to the following formula:

$$120 * (\text{managing of Interfaces Tenderer score}) / (\text{highest Managing of Interfaces score}).$$

Quality Criterion 3 Road map/innovation: the Tenderer with the highest score for this criterion will be awarded the maximum number of points (50 points).

The remaining Tenderers are given a rating related to the highest score for the criterion according to the following formula:

$$50 * (\text{Road map/Innovation Tenderer score}) / (\text{highest Road map/Innovation score}).$$

6.4.2 Determine score for the Best Price Ratio criterion price

The scores achieved on all quality criteria added together lead to the score for the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion

6.4.3 Review based on the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price

The final score for the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price is determined as follows:

Review of Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price Lot A

Framework Agreement Price Offshore Radar: the Tenderer with the lowest tender price will be awarded the maximum number of points for price (320 points). The review on the tender price is performed using the following formula:

$$1. 320 * (\text{lowest tender price for Offshore}) / (\text{Tenderer's tender price for Offshore}).$$

Framework Agreement Price Coastal Radar: the Tenderer with the lowest tender price will be awarded the maximum number of points for price (80 points). The review on the tender price is performed using the following formula:

$$2. 80 * (\text{lowest tender price for Coastal}) / (\text{Tenderer's tender price for Coastal}).$$

The final score for the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price for Lot A is the sum of the Offshore score (1.) and the Coastal score (2.). A Tenderer offering the lowest price for both categories scores 400 points.

Review of Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price Lot B

Framework Agreement Price River Radar: the Tenderer with the lowest tender price will be awarded the maximum number of points for price (320 points). The review on the tender price is performed using the following formula:

$$1. 320 * (\text{lowest tender price for River}) / (\text{Tenderer's tender price for River}).$$

Framework Agreement Price Gap Filler Radar: the Tenderer with the lowest tender price will be awarded the maximum number of points for price (80 points). The review on the tender price is performed using the following formula:

$$2. 80 * (\text{lowest tender price for Gap Filler}) / (\text{Tenderer's tender price for Gap Filler}).$$

The final score for the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price for Lot A is the sum of the River score (1.) and the Gap Filler score (2.). A Tenderer offering the lowest price for both categories scores 400 points.

6.4.4 Determination of total final score

The final score for the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding price and the final score for the Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion regarding quality are added together to form the total final score. Only the two Tenderers with the highest total final scores in ranking will be considered for award.

A Tenderer's total final score is rounded up to a whole number. Until this total final score is determined, numbers will not be rounded. If two or more Tenderers have achieved an equal total final score and this results in RWS having to award contracts to more than the desired number of Tenderers, then the Tenderer to which the contract will be awarded will be decided by random drawing.

6.4.5 Provisional award decision stating On Site Demonstration (OSD)

After determining the total final score, the 2 highest-scoring tenderers will be provisionally awarded the Framework Agreement of 1 or both lots. An appointment will be made with these 2 Tenderers for an On Site Demonstration. In case of a positive outcome, the award decision follows. For more information, see section 7.23.

6.5 Review of supporting documents

This Descriptive Document indicates which supporting documents must be submitted immediately upon submitting the Tender Bid. The other supporting documents need to be submitted only after RWS requests their submission. However the Tenderer may also submit all supporting documents immediately with its Tender Bid.

RWS will request supporting documents not yet submitted from the Tenderer eligible for award prior to the announcement of the award decision. If RWS considers it necessary to ensure that the procedure proceeds properly, RWS may request the supporting documents from all Tenderers at an earlier stage.

The supporting documents must demonstrate that the Tenderer actually satisfies the provisions of the "Single Procurement Document" and the Tender Bid.

If RWS disagrees with the content or validity of one or more of the supporting documents submitted by the Tenderer, this may result in the Tenderer being excluded for the remainder of the procedure. In such a case, RWS will again determine the Tender Bid with best price to quality ratio. The scores of the excluded Tenderer will be removed from the review. The calculations based on the assessment method will be performed again and a new ranking will be determined.

7. Tender procedure, requirements and conditions

This section sets out the tendering process, requirements and conditions applicable to this tendering procedure. Failure to comply with the tendering procedure, requirements and conditions may result in the Tender Bid being rejected.

Important! The Tenderer must submit a separate tender bid for each lot.

7.1 Statement of agreement

By submitting a Tender Bid, accompanied by the "Statement of Agreement" Appendix F, the Tenderer expressly agrees to all requirements, demands and conditions stipulated in this Descriptive Document. A Tender Bid subject to conditions is not allowed. If the "Statement of Agreement" Appendix F or the valid signature in the "Statement of Agreement" Appendix F is absent, this will lead to the rejection of the Tender.

7.2 Planning

The diagram in section 1.4. includes the schedule.

7.3 TenderNed

The tender procedure will be conducted electronically through TenderNed: www.tenderned.nl. All tender documents can be downloaded from TenderNed.

To participate in the procurement procedure, a contractor must be registered with TenderNed. For every Dutch company registered with the Chamber of Commerce, *eHerkenning* is required to register and login. The Tendered will bear the consequences of failing to apply for *eHerkenning* or failing to do so on time. The "TenderNed Conditions of Use" apply.

A Tenderer is expected to have all the necessary knowledge to be able to correctly complete a procurement procedure in TenderNed. Use of TenderNed is at the business owner's expense and risk, subject to the provisions of section 7.11.

All communication relating to this tender procedure will proceed through TenderNed, unless specified otherwise. Tenderers are not permitted to maintain contact or ask questions in the context of this procurement procedure other than through TenderNed. If communication cannot take place through TenderNed, the Tenderer may contact the contact person below:

Jaap Sutmuller, e-mail jaap.sutmuller@rws.nl with a cc. to inkoopcentrum-iv@rws.nl.

Tenderers are not permitted to directly approach anyone other than the contact person referred to above regarding this tender.

For questions related to TenderNed's functionality or technology, the Tenderer may contact TenderNed's service desk. Tenderer may also consult the TenderNed website: [TenderNed for companies](#).

7.4 Questions, enquiries and complaints

Questions and enquiries

During the procedure, the Tenderer can ask questions through TenderNed. Questions may be asked, for example, regarding ambiguities, contradictions or inaccuracies. Questions must be submitted through the "questions and answers" feature in TenderNed. Questions must be worded clearly and reference the section of this document and/or the appendix to which the question relates, and may offer suggestions for corrections. All questions will be answered anonymously. The deadline for submitting questions is included in the schedule, but RWS encourages Tenderers to submit questions as soon as possible. Rijkswaterstaat will answer questions as soon as possible. In any case, all questions asked will be answered at least 10 calendar days before the deadline for submission of the Tender Bid.

Proactivity

A proactive attitude is expected from Tenderers. This means that Tenderer cannot validly invoke any imperfections or contradictions that the Tenderer did not raise within the aforementioned

period when it could reasonably have done so. In that case, the Tenderer has waived its rights regarding such imperfections or inconsistencies.

Individual inquiries

A Tenderer may make a reasoned request that certain information not be disclosed to all parties involved in a procurement procedure if disclosure of such information would harm the justified economic interests of the Tenderer. The Tenderer must check the "Individual treatment" box in TenderNed and substantiate the importance of individual treatment. However, RWS determines whether the Tenderer's substantiation regarding individual treatment of the question is adequate. The Tenderer may ask an individual question and RWS may reject the question with reasons. In that case, the Tenderer must indicate whether it wants the question answered through a general summary of additional information or whether it withdraws the question. Individual responses are subordinate to the general Summary of Additional Information.

Responses from RWS

The Summary of Additional Information forms an integral part of this Descriptive Document. RWS assumes that no ambiguities exist with regard to the parts on which no questions have been asked.

Complaints procedure

If a Tenderer disagrees with RWS's response or fails to respond, it may submit a complaint to the RWS's Central Complaints Desk for Tendering, using the e-mail address: klachtenmeldpunt@rws.nl.

Filing a complaint will not normally have a suspensive effect.

Information meeting

RWS is organising an information session on Tuesday 19 August, from 14:00 to 15:30 at Derde Werelddreef 1, 2622 HA Delft. During this session, RWS will explain this tender and the Framework Agreement. The Tenderer may submit questions for this meeting in advance, up to the time indicated in the planning section. If possible, during the meeting RWS will give preliminary answers to the questions submitted. The Tenderer cannot derive any rights from the preliminary oral answer. Questions submitted will be definitively answered via TenderNed.

In case the Tenderer wishes to accept the invitation, it is requested to indicate by whom its organisation will be represented through the 'Messages' section on TenderNed, at the latest on the date and time indicated in planning. A maximum of two people may be present per company.

Please note: attendees must bring valid identification.

7.5 Term of Validity and Tender Bid

The Tender Bid submitted by the Tenderer must be valid for six months from the deadline for submission of the Tender Bid. If a Tenderer wishes to seek preliminary relief from the competent court in The Hague against the announcement of the award decision, the Tenderer's Tender Bid must in any event remain valid until four weeks after the judgment in the first instance.

7.6 Variants

In accordance with the Descriptive Document, the Tenderer may not offer a variant of a Tender Bid in addition to a Tender Bid.

7.7 Costs regarding a Tender Bid

RWS will not reimburse any costs for preparing and submitting a Tender Bid, including any further information to be provided and the OSD.

The Tenderer will bear any costs, damages or losses that arise or may arise from not awarding this contract to the Tenderer or to any other Tenderer.

7.8 Termination of the tender

RWS reserves the right to terminate the procurement procedure in whole or in part, temporarily or permanently, until the signing of the envisaged Agreement. In such a situation, RWS will consider

whether the Tenderer(s) are entitled to reimbursement of any costs incurred in connection with this tender.

If the procedure is terminated, the Tenderers involved will be informed simultaneously of the reasons for the termination. If a Tenderer involved does not seek preliminary relief within 7 calendar days of dispatch of this decision, no further objections may be lodged in respect of this decision and the Tenderer will be deemed to have waived its rights in this regard.

7.9 Order of priority of documents

In the event of any inconsistencies between the Descriptive Document and the Summary of Additional Information, the Summary of Additional Information will prevail. Individual responses are subordinate to the general Summary of Additional Information.

7.10 Environmental, social and labour law obligations

The Tenderer declares that in drawing up its Tender Bid, it has taken into account its obligations in the areas of environmental, social and labour law under the law of the European Union, national law or collective bargaining agreements and under the provisions of international environmental, social and labour law listed in Annex X to Directive 2014/24/EU. By submitting the "Statement of Agreement", the Tenderer declares that it has done so.

7.11 Submission of the Tender Bid

The final date and time to submit the Tender Bid is laid down in TenderNed and is a deadline.

- The closing time for submitting Tender Bids, indicated by the digital countdown clock in TenderNed, is decisive.
- RWS can only view Tender Bids after opening the digital vault in TenderNed, which can be opened only after the final submission date and time have passed. RWS will only process Tender Bids found in the digital vault.
- The Tenderer bears the risk of late submission of the Tender Bid and/or submission of an incomplete Tender Bid.
- RWS is neither responsible nor liable for the consequences incurred by the Tenderer due to late, incorrect or incomplete submission of a Tender Bid.

If TenderNed is inaccessible on the deadline for receipt of the Tender Bid due to a disruption at eHerkenning, in TenderNed or the electricity grid, this will be qualified as a situation of force majeure. In that event, RWS may postpone the deadline for receipt of tender bids, depending on the situation and consequences. The changed deadline for receipt of Tenders will be announced under "Rectification" in TenderNed.

Opening Tender Bids

The opening of the digital vault will not be public. RWS will treat the information provided by the Tenderer as confidential. The price component of the Tender Bid will not be opened until after the qualitative assessment has been completed.

7.12 Form and content of the Tender Bid

Below is an overview of all documents to be submitted per lot in TenderNed when submitting a Tender Bid and what actions are required in that regard. Important! The Tenderer must submit a tender bid for each lot.

Appendix	description	Action Tenderer
A	Price Form Lot A	Complete the "Price Form" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
B	Price Form Lot B	Complete the "Price Form" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
C	Quality criterion 2 form regarding Low maintenance/sustainability	Detail the quality criteria and attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
D	Quality criterion 3 form regarding Managing Interfaces	Detail the quality criteria and attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
E	Quality criterion 4 form regarding Road map/innovation	Detail the quality criteria and attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
F	Statement of agreement	Complete the "Statement of Agreement" Appendix, sign it by mean of a valid qualified electronic signature and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
G	European Single Procurement Document(s)	Complete the "European Single Procurement Document(s)" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
H	Reference core competence 1	Complete the "References" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
I	Reference core competence 2	Complete the "References" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
J	Radar offer form	Complete the "Radar offer form" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
K	Extract from professional or commercial register	Attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
L	Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement	Can be attached to the Tender Bid in TenderNed. (Does not have to be provided until it is requested)
M	Tax and Customs Administration statement	Can be attached to the Tender Bid in TenderNed. (Does not have to be provided until it is requested)
N	Notification of use of independent assistants	
O	Supplementary self-declaration regarding Russian parties	

The Tender must satisfy the formal requirements below for each lot:

- The documents to be provided with the Tender Bid must be submitted as a PDF file in accordance with the prescribed format.
- The "Statement of Agreement" Appendix to be signed must be signed by a representative of the Tenderer duly authorised by means of a **qualified electronic** signature (PKIoverheid certificate or EU Qualified certificate).

A “qualified electronic signature” is a signature with a qualified certificate.¹ Such a certificate is a digital file attached to the original document. Special bodies called certification service providers issue such certificates.²

RWS considers it very important that the “Statement of Agreement” is signed by a person authorised to validly represent the legal entity. RWS therefore requires a qualified electronic signature because it has the most safeguards. A qualified electronic signature gives RWS the utmost assurance that the signature was made by an authorised person and not someone else.

Therefore, when the professional or commercial register states that two or more persons are authorised to represent only jointly, the “Statement of Agreement” Appendix that must be validly signed will have to be signed by two or more of those persons. When the power to represent the company is subject to restrictions, these must be taken into account.

In the event of a group, an authorised representative of each member of the group must separately sign the “Statement of Agreement” Appendix in a valid manner by means of a qualified electronic signature.

7.13 European Single Procurement Document

By means of the “European Single Procurement Document” Appendix, the Tenderer declares that the mandatory and optional grounds for exclusion, as listed in Part III of the European Single Procurement Document, do not apply to it. The Tenderer also declares through Part IV of European Single Procurement Document that it meets the applicable suitability requirements. Please note: Part IV of the European Single Procurement Document uses the term selection criteria; that term refers to the suitability requirements as stated in the Public Procurement Act).

For the method of submitting a Tender Bid in collaboration with other companies, please see the “Submitting a Tender Bid as a group” section and/or the “Submitting a Tender Bid while relying on the capacity of one or more third parties” section, which indicates from whom a completed “European Single Procurement Document” must in that case be submitted with the Tender Bid.

7.14 Submitting a Tender Bid as a group

Tender Bids can be submitted independently or as a partnership (group). If two or more business owner jointly submit a Tender Bid as a group:

- each member of the group will each be jointly and severally liable for the performance of the obligations arising from the Tender Bid and the performance of the Agreement;
- each member of the group will be required complete the “European Single Procurement Document” Appendix G separately, indicating among other things:
 - who the members of the group are (see Part II of “European Single Procurement Document”);
 - what role the relevant member of the group has within the group;
 - who is in charge (the main contractor) of the group and acts as the responsible authorised representative. The main contractor must thus be authorised to undertake obligations for the group and act on its behalf. The main contractor is the organisation with which RWS, on behalf of the group, corresponds during the term of the Agreement with regard to the progress of performance and to which RWS makes payments;
- each member of the group must validly sign the “Statement of Agreement” Appendix through an authorised representative by means of a qualified electronic signature.

¹ Electronic signatures that satisfy this standard include: PKIoverheid, EU Qualified, or other STORK IV signatures, as well as advanced electronic signatures created with a qualified electronic signature creation tool, based on a qualified certificate for electronic signatures as described in Regulation (EU) No. 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014.

² Member States record qualified providers of trust services in a “trusted list”. Every Member State must inform the European Commission about the trusted lists. The European Commission, in turn, has compiled all trusted lists into a tool, which can easily be accessed online. Through this tool, the trusted list for each Member State can be viewed. Thus, the Tenderer can consult which certificate service providers in a Member State are considered qualified trust service providers and authorised to issue a qualified certificate. The Dutch government uses a certificate issued by Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) government.

- each member of the group must provide, for its portion, the supporting documents requested for this tender.

7.15 Submitting a Tender Bid while relying on the capacity of one or more third parties

The Tenderer (whether a group or not) may rely on the capacity one or more third parties to demonstrate that it satisfies the suitability requirements set. In that case, the Tenderer must indicate in Part II C of the "European Single Procurement Document" for which suitability requirement(s) it relies on the capacity of the third party or parties.

The use in the references of experience of one or more third parties, or the reliance on a third party in terms of its educational and professional qualifications, is only permitted if such third parties are used in the performance of the present Agreement and the Tenderer actually has the knowledge and experience of such third parties at its disposal and will actually make use of it in the performance of the contract.

If the Tenderer does rely on the capacity of one or more third parties, a separate "European Single Procurement Document" must be submitted for each of the third parties that includes the information requested in sections A and B of Part II and all of Part III. Each third party must sign and complete the form properly. The duly authorised representative of the third party may choose whether to sign with a qualified electronic signature or an original handwritten signature (in the latter case, the document must be scanned after signing).

Please note: this means that if one or more third parties are relied on, those third parties must each sign their "European Single Procurement Document" themselves. Tenderers are therefore not permitted to submit a subcontractor's "European Single Procurement Document" without a signature and then have a subcontractor sign or co-sign on the "Statement of Agreement" Appendix.

For verification, the supporting documents Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement and the Payment history report regarding performance of tax obligations from the Tax and Customs Administration are requested (can be submitted with the tender bid, but need not be provided until requested by RWS). For more information, see 4.1.

The Tenderer is fully liable for the performance of the obligations arising from the Tender Bid as well as any performance of the contract. In addition, the Tenderer is liable for the performance of the obligations of the third party or parties/subcontractor(s) engaged by it.

All completed and signed "European Single Procurement Documents" must be attached to the Tender Bid.

RWS requires the Tenderer to provide the following information after the award of the Framework Agreement and at the latest before commencing the execution of the contract: the name, contact details and legal representatives of the subcontractors involved in carrying out the commissioning.

7.16 Once-only Tender Bid

A natural person, legal entity or company may submit a Tender Bid only once, either individually or in combination with other natural persons, legal entities or companies, or as a subcontractor engaged by the Tenderer to meet the suitability requirements.

Note that different Tenderers that are affiliated through a relationship of dependence (group relationship) are each allowed to participate in this procurement procedure. This is subject to the express condition that they participate in this procurement procedure as competitors. In this respect, it must be demonstrated by means of a statement that the relationship did not influence their tendering behaviour in the context of this procurement procedure and did not impede fair competition.

RWS does not consider submitting Tender Bids for multiple lots as submitting multiple Tender Bids.

7.17 Communication and Language

Communication with RWS during the tendering process and the Tender Bid must be in Dutch. Several tender documents have been translated into English by the Contracting Authority. This includes the descriptive document and the appendices with the suffix .EN. In case of any inconsistencies between the English and Dutch variants, the Dutch variant prevails.

7.18 General terms and conditions

Terms and conditions of delivery/payment and/or other general terms and conditions of the Tenderer or its trade association, under any title or designation whatsoever, are expressly rejected. The Agreement is governed by the General Government Terms and Conditions for IT Contracts 2022 (ARBIT-2022).

7.19 Agreement

The draft (Framework) Agreements, Further Agreement and Processing Agreement and the associated General Terms and Conditions are included in the appendices. During the question round, Tenderers will have the opportunity to ask questions, make comments and submit substantiated text proposals.

RWS is free to decide whether or not to honour the texts proposals. In the Summary of Additional Information, RWS will indicate whether it accepts or rejects the proposals submitted.

7.20 Explanation and verification of the Tender Bid

RWS may require the Tenderer to explain its Tender Bid in more detail and/or submit supporting documents. RWS is entitled, but not obliged, to verify the accuracy of all data and statements to be submitted on the basis of the Tender Bid.

7.21 Public Administration (Probity Screening) Act

During the tender procedure, RWS may make use of the Public Administration (Probity Screening) Act (*Wet Bevordering Integriteitsbeoordelingen door het Openbaar Bestuur*).

One of the purposes of the Public Administration (Probity Screening) Act is to prevent the government from unintentionally facilitating certain "criminal" activities by tendering public contracts. RWS may ask the National Public Administration Probity Screening Agency for advice before making a decision on the award.

The advice that the National Public Administration Probity Screening Agency issues based on the outcome of its investigation will provide RWS with support in its own substantive consideration of whether or not to award the contract to a Tenderer.

7.22 Demonstrating radar suitability

7.22.1 Specifications to be provided

When making a tender bid, the Tenderer must provide the following specifications of the offered radars by means of Appendix J Radar offer form. The data is divided into the following parts: transceiver, turning unit (if applicable), antenna and any other components.

- 1 Types (such that what is offered is unambiguously specified)
- 2 Dimensions and masses
- 3 Frequencies
- 4 Polarisation, peak power, gain and transmit power E.I.R.P.

If the data have not been submitted or are incomplete, then the Contracting Authority may offer a possibility of redress for this.

7.22.2 Test results to be supplied for VSE-100

When submitting the Tender Bid, the Tenderer must provide documentation demonstrating through test results that the radars offered meet VSE-100. The Tenderer's file names can be specified in Appendix J, whereby the tests must cover all aspects mentioned in Chapter 3 of the relevant VSEs:

- 1 Minimum Detection Range
- 2 Maximum Detection Range
- 3 Angular Separation
- 4 Range Separation

If the Tenderer does not have documentation fully demonstrating suitability in line with VSE-100 for the components described above or if the Contracting Authority has reasonable doubt about the soundness of the results, the relevant components may be included as an additional test target during the On Site Demonstration described in section 7.23.

If the Contracting Authority concludes that the documentation has not been attached or is of insufficient quality, then the Contracting Authority may offer a possibility of redress for this.

7.22.3 ASTERIX sample files to be provided

Upon submitting a Tender Bid, the Tenderer must submit a sample file for each radar type offered. The sample files must satisfy the CAT240 protocol.

The sample files must contain data generated over a continuous period of about 2 minutes and must include observations of at least 1 target. The Contracting Authority will verify whether the sample files comply with the CAT240 protocol.

If it is found that the sample files have not been added or are not sufficiently legible then Contracting Authority can offer a remedial option for this.

7.23 On Site Demonstration (OSD)

After the review procedure is completed, a provisional award decision will be sent pending the implementation of the OSD. The preliminary award decision and the award decision are explained under the definitions on page 4.

RWS will only invite the 2 highest scoring Tenderers, numbers 1 and 2 in ranking, per lot to conduct an OSD.

The Tenderer must indicate suitable dates for the OSD with the Tender Bid in Appendix J "Radar offer form" and attach it to the tender bid.

Through the OSD, the Tenderer demonstrates that its offered radars comply with the test targets defined by the Contracting Authority in section 7.23.2. The OSD takes place in the period from 09 October to 24 October on a date and location to be agreed between the Tenderer and the Contracting Authority. With regard to the OSD, RWS may seek the assistance of an independent third party or have RWS auditors conduct an audit to see whether or test targets have been achieved.

The outcome of the OSD may be that:

1. all test targets have been demonstrated, or;
2. the OSD reveals that some of the test targets cannot be demonstrated.

In the first case, RWS may proceed to award the contract.

In the second case: If circumstances beyond one's control prevent an OSD from taking place, the Tenderer will be given a one-off opportunity, within one week, to still demonstrate all test targets during the OSD. If a test target cannot be demonstrated due to circumstances that cannot be attributed to the Tenderer (e.g. weather conditions required to demonstrate the test targets) and the Contracting Authority has sufficient confidence in the functionalities of the relevant radars, then the Contracting Authority may drop the relevant test targets.

If the test targets cannot be demonstrated to satisfaction, RWS will terminate this OSD, exclude the tender bid and proceed with the same procedure with the Tenderer ranked next in the review.

This Tenderer will have to go through the same procedure as well. This process will continue until a Tender Bid fully satisfies the conditions set. RWS has the right to stop this process at any time. In that event, RWS will announce how the tender process will proceed further.

7.23.1 Duties and responsibilities during the OSD

The Tenderer is responsible for the organisation, preparation, execution and reporting of the tests. The tests will take place at a test site to be determined and set up by the Tenderer in Europe.

The Contracting Authority determines whether the test site is sufficiently suitable for the OSD:

3. In far from every location, the specifications in chapter 3 of the VSEs can be fully demonstrated to limits. The Contracting authority considers whether a location sufficiently suitable for the purpose of the OSD;
4. If the radar at the test site is not 100% identical to the offered radar, the Contracting Authority will consider whether the radar at the test site is sufficiently similar for the purpose of the OSD. Additional documentation may be requested to demonstrate that the different version or variant of the radar for VSE-100 is sufficiently similar.

The Tenderer will coordinate the exact schedule of test execution with the Contracting Authority.

The Tenderer will submit a test specification for the test. This is a brief overview of the tests specified by the Contracting Authority ** (see below). For each test target, one or more tests are described with the data below:

5. Test identification;
6. Test target;
7. Test preparation;
8. Evaluation criteria, including any tolerances against the expected outcome

Sample test specification:

Category: Off-shore			
Type of radar: ABC			
Test site: ZYZ			
ID	Test target	Test preparation	Evaluation criteria
1	Minimum Detection Range for any target type (test target 1)	-	OK if a target is detected at < 40 m
2	Maximum Detection Range for target type 2 (test target 2)	A vessel with target type 2 is available for the test.	OK if the target type 2 vessel is observed at 14.5 NM distance (\pm 10%); OK if Sea State or rain are more unfavourable than in the specifications in VSE-100 and Contracting Authority considers the test result to be satisfactory.

The test specification will, in consultation with Contracting Authority, take into account the capabilities and limitations of the test site.

Before the Contracting Authority visits the test site, the Tenderer will conduct a Test Readiness Review (TRR) to check whether it meets the set preconditions and declares to the Contracting Authority whether the test can be conducted.

The Tenderer must provide a test report after completing the tests, with the following details for each test performed:

9. Test log: date and time, test identifier, result (OK/NOK/not completed);
10. Explanation, insofar as necessary to assess the result;
11. Test evidence (e.g. screen dumps, log files), where applicable.

The Contracting Authority reviews the test specification, attends the tests (witnessing) and reviews the test report.

The assumption is that it must be possible to perform all the tests in one day. The Tenderer must schedule two consecutive days for test execution, in consultation with the Contracting Authority, with the second day serving primarily for possible time overruns from the first day and retesting.

7.23.2 Test Targets

The table below shows all test targets. The column on the right indicate the categories of radars to which a test target applies.

It is the responsibility of the Tenderer that the said targets are present.

ID	Test target	Applicable to
1	Sighting of a target of any type at close range in accordance with Minimum Detection Range in section 3.2 of the VSE.	All categories
2	Sighting of a target of type 1 or 2 at long range in accordance with Maximum Detection Range in section 3.3 of the VSE.	All categories
3	Sighting of a target of type 4 or 5 at long range in accordance with Maximum Detection Range in section 3.3 of the VSE.	Coastal and Off-shore
4	Sighting of two targets of equal type at equal range in accordance with Angular Separation in section 3.4 of the VSE.	All categories
5	Sighting of two targets of equal type at close range in the same viewing direction in accordance with Range Separation in section 3.4 of the VSE.	All categories

The advice is to assess during the Test Readiness Review whether sufficient ships (targets) are present or whether presence needs to be organised in advance.

7.24 Invalidation

If a Tender Bid is invalid, the Tenderer will be notified as soon as possible. If the Tenderer disagrees with the decision to set aside the Tender Bid, the Tenderer may seek preliminary relief from the competent civil court in The Hague within 20 calendar days from the date of dispatch of this notice. If preliminary relief is sought during the aforementioned period, the petitioner will still be included in the assessment subject to the condition that the court renders a judgment in its favour; the Contracting Authority may make this inclusion subject to further conditions.

The period referred to is an expiry period.

7.25 Notification of the award decision

All remaining Tenderers will simultaneously receive a substantiated notification of the award decision communication through TenderNed.

Standstill period

Any Tenderer who disagrees with the award decision may seek preliminary relief in this respect from the competent civil court in The Hague. Tenderers must request this within 20 calendar days after electronic dispatch of the award decision. This standstill period is also an expiry period. If the Tenderer seeks preliminary relief, the Tenderer is requested to send a copy of the summons to RWS.

Pursuant to Article 2.129 of the Public Procurement Act, the award decision is not yet an acceptance of the Tenderer's offer. For a period of 20 calendar days after electronic dispatch of the award decision, RWS is not allowed to award the contract definitively by entering into the Agreement.

If preliminary relief is sought during this period of 20 calendar days, the award of the contract will be stayed pending the judgment in preliminary relief proceedings in the first instance. The judgment will form the basis for further decision-making by RWS. If preliminary relief is sought against the award decision, RWS will inform the other Tenderers.

Interest in decision

Another Tenderer who has an interest in the judgment in preliminary relief proceedings can only litigate through third-party intervention or joinder of parties. The Tenderer cannot seek preliminary relief separately or bring any other legal proceedings.

7.26 Supplementary award under the Framework Agreement

This chapter describes the process for being considered for further contract award after signing the Framework Agreement.

The criterion for awarding the Further Agreement for each lot is the "economically most advantageous tender bid with the best price/quality ratio" (hereinafter: Best Price/Quality Ratio).

The Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion is broken down into two Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria as shown in the table below.

No	Best Price/Quality Ratio criteria	Weight
1	Quality	60%
2	Price	40%

7.26.1 Assignments within the Framework Agreement

An assignment under a Framework Agreement is called a Further Agreement (hereinafter Further Agreement).

The Further Agreement is performed through a mini-competition. In this regard, RWS follows the process below;

- Preparation of an invitation to tender (depending on the complexity, this takes about 4 weeks);
- Optional: a short market consultation among market participants to test the invitation to tender.
- Sending out the invitation to tender (T); RWS agrees with the parties involved on how this step can be taken (there are several possibilities such as: Tendered, the Tender Calendar or via e-mail)
- An information session (T + 1 week);
- Opportunity for written questions (T + 2 weeks);
- Summary of Additional Information and Changes (T + 3 weeks);
- Tender bids (T + 5 week);
- Assessment (T + 6 week);
- Possible explanatory assessment interviews (T + 7 weeks);

- Provisional award (T + 8 weeks).

Total lead time (just under two months + preparation time)

7.26.2 Award of contracts within the Framework Agreement

Contracts within the Framework Agreement are awarded on the basis of the following Award Criteria:

Generic model for weighting quality criteria in Further Agreement

Number	Best Price/Quality Ratio criterion	Weight	Description
Criterion 2	Quality	70%	Delivery schedule
Criterion 3	Quality	30%	To be further specified
Total		100%	

Elaboration of the criteria

The winner from the mini-competition who may actually arrange the supply and commissioning follows from the criteria below;

Criterion 1 - Further Agreement discount

In the Framework Agreement, the contractor issued a Framework Agreement price for 1 radar system from a certain category. In the Further Agreement, an invitation for tender regarding 1 or more radars is set out in a mini-competition. The Framework Agreement price times the number of radars yields a project price. This is the total value of the relevant Further Agreement. On this value, the Tenderer must give a discount rate with regard to the total value. The discount rate is a number used in the formula of this criterion.

Points to note:

- This is a discount rate on the total value of the Further Agreement;
- the Tenderer with the highest project discount achieves 40%, thus establishing the total score on Price of that Tenderer.
- for the other Tenderer, the total score on Price is calculated as follows:
 - $40\% \times (\text{Tenderer's Project Discount}) / (\text{Highest Project Discount})$.

Criterion 2 - Delivery schedule

Substantiation:

RWS has its own internal needs and schedule for delivery, which translates to a delivery schedule per radar. If a supplier meets our delivery schedule then the maximum score is awarded. Any deviation results in a deduction from the score.

Performance	score	Points
Meets the delivery schedule	3	420
Delivery up to 4 weeks later	2	252
Delivery between 4 weeks later and 12 weeks later	1	105
Delivery later than 12 weeks	0	0

For the above table, the radar with the largest deviation between the requested delivery date and the offered delivery date is assumed.

Criterion 3 – To be further specified

For the NOK invitation for tender, RWS will use a criterion 3 to be completed. Here, for example, you can choose from the topics below. This will be determined for every invitation for tender. The 30% weighting is fixed, however.

- Wishes from the VSEs:

The Tenderer indicates whether wishes from the VSEs have been met. These are the requirements VSE-102 (functionality), VSE-620 (sustainability), VSE-621 (sustainability), VSE-700 (cyber security) and VSE-702 (functionality). The Framework Agreement assesses based on a road map. This is where actually realised wishes are assessed.

- Cyber security:

The Tenderer indicates what improvements are planned or will be implemented with regard to cyber security (beyond what is already stated as a wish in the VSEs).

- Sustainability:

The Tenderer indicates what improvements are planned or will be implemented with regard to sustainability (beyond what is already stated as a wish in the VSEs).

Enclosures

The following appendices form an integral part of this Descriptive Document. These were published with the Descriptive Document.

List of appendices - Part 1 of 2		
Appendix	description	Applicable to lot
01	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar Systems of category Offshore	A
01.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category Offshore	A
02	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar systems of category Coastal	A
02.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category Coastal	A
03	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar Systems of category River	B
03.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category River Radar	B
04	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) - Supply of Radar Systems of category Gap Filler	B
04.EN	National Tender Nautical Radars Tender Specification Requirements (VSE) Description of Supply Radar Systems of category Gap Filler	B
05	Interface Requirements Specification / Interface Design Description Nautical Radar (Radar Distribution Layer RDL)	A and B
05.en	Interface Requirements Specification / Interface Design Description Nautical Radar (Radar Distribution Layer RDL)	A and B
06	Cybersecurity Implementation Guideline Objects	A and B
07	Tender Specification Process (VSP) Radars	A and B
07.en	Tender Specification Process (VSP) Radars	A and B
08	ARBIT-2022 framework agreement on supply of nautical radars	A and B
09	ARBIT-2022 agreement on supply of nautical radars	A and B
10	ARBIT-2022	A and B
10.EN	ARBIT-2022 English	
11	"Electronic invoicing to the central government" brochure	A and B
12	Policy Document on Separation of Interests	A and B
13	Request for quote for nautical radars	A and B

List of appendices - Part 2 of 2 Forms		
Appendix	description	Action Tenderer
A	Price Form Lot A	Complete the "Price Form" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
B	Price Form Lot B	Complete the "Price Form" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
C	Quality criterion 2 form regarding Low maintenance/sustainability	Detail the quality criteria and attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
D	Quality criterion 3 form regarding Managing Interfaces	Detail the quality criteria and attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
E	Quality criterion 4 form regarding Road map/innovation	Detail the quality criteria and attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
F	Statement of agreement	Complete the "Statement of Agreement" Appendix, sign it by mean of a valid qualified electronic signature and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
G	European Single Procurement Document(s)	Complete the "European Single Procurement Document(s)" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
H	Reference core competence 1	Complete the "References" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
I	Reference core competence 2	Complete the "References" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
J	Radar offer form	Complete the "Radar offer form" Appendix and attach it to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
K	Extract from professional or commercial register	Attach to the Tender Bid in TenderNed.
L	Certificate of Conduct for Public Procurement	Can be attached to the Tender Bid in TenderNed. (Does not have to be provided until it is requested)
M	Tax and Customs Administration statement	Can be attached to the Tender Bid in TenderNed. (Does not have to be provided until it is requested)
N	Notification of use of independent assistants	Identical to Appendix G European Single Procurement Document(s)
O	Supplementary self-declaration regarding Russian parties	