



Procurement guide on the European tender under the open procedure for:

*Borehole campaign Einstein Telescope
Euregio Meuse-Rhine (TN503342)*

Document number: P2.1_111_V2.0

Date: 21-02-2025

Contracting authority: NIKHEF
PO Box 41882
1009 DB AMSTERDAM
Website: <https://www.nikhef.nl>

Copyright notice ©:

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without prior written permission from Nikhef.

Changes from version 1.0 are marked in yellow.

Table of contents

1.	Introduction	4
1.1.	Introduction project Einstein Telescope	4
1.2.	Tender designation	4
1.3.	Contracting authority	4
1.4.	Contact	4
1.5.	Documents	4
1.5.1.	Tender documents	4
1.5.2.	Mandatory use of supplied documents	5
1.5.3.	Ranking documents	5
2.	Description of the assignment/agreement	5
2.1.	Description assignment	5
2.1.1.	Prices	5
2.1.2.	Invoicing and payment	6
3.	The tendering process	6
3.1.	Type of procurement procedure	6
3.2.	Planning tender procedure	6
3.3.	Information meeting	6
3.4.	Note of Information	7
3.5.	Method of registration	7
3.5.1.	Documents	7
3.5.2.	Completing/signing documents	7
3.6.	Tender conditions	8
3.6.1.	General conditions	8
3.6.2.	Reserve	9
3.7.	Confidentiality and privacy statement	9
3.7.1.	Procurement confidentiality	9
3.8.	Taxation, environmental protection, labour protection and working conditions obligations	9
3.9.	Minutes of opening of tenders	9
4.	Eligibility requirements	10
4.1.	Eligibility requirement: reference(s)	10
5.	Award criterion and methodology	10

5.1.	Award criterion	10
5.2.	Assessment methodology	10
5.3.	Best value for money	11
5.4.	Sub-award criterion Price.....	11
5.5.	Sub-award criterion Quality.....	11
5.6.	Sub-award criterion 1: Project plan.....	12
5.7.	Assessment of sub-award criteria Quality.....	13
5.8.	Notice of award decision (Intention to award).....	14

1. Introduction

1.1. Introduction project Einstein Telescope

The Einstein Telescope will be an advanced observatory for gravitational waves. The border region of the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany is in the picture as a possible location. This is because of its tranquillity, stable soil, and strong ecosystem of knowledge institutions and high-tech companies. Researchers, companies and governments in the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany are jointly exploring the possibilities. The ultimate goal is to build the Einstein Telescope in the Meuse-Rhine Euroregion. For more info see <https://www.einsteintelelescope.nl/>.

Project office ET

The Project Office ET-EMR, based in Maastricht, is carrying out a feasibility study. This phase includes activities within various disciplines (project management, contract management, technical management, environmental management, project control). The project office uses the IPM (Integrated Project Management) model. The management of the project office is conducted by the Project Office Directorate.

1.2. Tender designation

This document is the Procurement Guide of the European tender under the open procedure for: "Borehole campaign Einstein Telescope Euregio Meuse-Rhine". The reference of this tender is: P2.1_111.

1.3. Contracting authority

The contracting authority, also the future client, is Nikhef (hereinafter referred to as "Nikhef", or "*client*"). Further information about Nikhef as an organisation is published on this webpage: <https://www.nikhef.nl/>.

Visiting address: Duboisdomein 30, 6229 GT, Maastricht
 Postal address: P.O. Box 41882, 1009 DB, Amsterdam
 Website: <https://www.nikhef.nl>

1.4. Contact

The contact person for this tender is:

Name	J. Kerstjens	Phone	+31 6 34 84 76 67
Function	Contract manager	Email	j.kerstjens@et-emr.eu

PLEASE NOTE: It is only permitted to contact the above contact person at Nikhef in connection with this tender procedure. It is therefore **not permitted to contact** other employees of Nikhef.

1.5. Documents

1.5.1. Tender documents

The following annexes belong to this Tender Guidelines:

Annex 1	the Assignment Description incl. Annexes
Annex 2	Nikhef's General Conditions of Purchase for Supplies and Services. https://www.nwo-i.nl/en/nwo-institutes-organisation/about-nwo-i/purchaseandsalesterms/
Annex 3	Price sheet

1.5.2. Mandatory use of supplied documents

Various documents to be completed will be made available under this tender. Tenderers are required to make use of these documents. Tenderers may not make any changes to these documents other than completing the requested information

1.5.3. Ranking documents

To the extent that the documents below contradict each other, the higher mentioned document prevails over the lower mentioned one:

1. note(s) of Inquiry*;
2. this Tender Guidelines;
3. the Assignment Description incl. Appendix (**ANNEX 1**);
4. Nikhef's General Conditions of Purchase for Supplies and Services (**ANNEX 2**);
5. your registration.

*If several information notes have been published, in the event of contradictions between them, the provisions of the most recent information note shall prevail.

2. Description of the assignment/agreement

2.1. Description assignment

Preparation, execution, completion and logging of drilling up to a depth of 500 metres in the Netherlands and Belgium for the purpose of the feasibility study Einstein Telescope Meuse-Rhine Euroregion, including execution and logging of measurements in the boreholes.

Plot A: 3 boreholes (Seismometer and DAS, packer test, measurements + 2 boreholes (1 Piezometer, 1 pumping tests, packer test, measurements) + 1 borehole (deepening, piezometer, packer test, measurements).

Plot B: 3 boreholes (Seismometer and DAS, packer test, measurements).

For a full description of the assignment and the related conditions and requirements, please refer to the Assignment Description included as **ANNEX 1**.

If a circumstance terminates the feasibility study, the agreement with the contractor shall also terminate.

2.1.1. Prices

The parties shall agree the (unit) prices and (hourly) rates as stated by the winning tenderer on the Price Sheet to be submitted with the tender (**ANNEX 3**). In doing so:

- All quoted (unit) prices and (hourly) rates are exclusive of VAT, but inclusive of other taxes and levies.
- All quoted (unit) prices and (hourly) rates correspond to the complete work and/or services and/or supplies. Costs not included in the prices will not be reimbursed unless otherwise indicated in the tender documents.
- All quoted (unit) prices and (hourly) rates agree including [travel costs, accommodation costs, call-out costs, administration costs, packaging and shipping costs, printing costs, etc.].
- The quoted (unit) prices, (hourly) rates, fees, etc. are fixed and unchangeable during the entire term of the agreement. This means that the quoted (unit) prices, (hourly) rates, fees are not indexed during the entire term of the agreement (including optional extension periods).

2.1.2. Invoicing and payment

The parties agree on the following billing schedule:

Billing per month per location, based on a declaration of performance approved by the client.

To ensure prompt payment of invoices, they should be sent to the following e-mail address: finances@nikhef.nl

As the formal billing address, you should always include the following postal address on your digital invoices:

*Nikhef
Project Einstein Telescope
Attn: Mr A. van Rijn
PO Box 41882
1009 DB Amsterdam*

Except to the extent deviated from in this paragraph, the provisions of the General Conditions of Purchase (**ANNEX 2**) apply with regard to invoicing and payment.

3. The tendering process

3.1. Type of procurement procedure

Procurement will take place in accordance with the Procurement Act 2012, revised version 2016.

A total of four companies were invited to submit a bid under this tender procedure.

3.2. Planning tender procedure

Date	Activity
29-1-2025	Announcement TED / TenderNed
11-2-2025 2:00 pm	Information meeting (Maastricht and online)
18-2-2025 8:30 am	Deadline and time for submission of questions under Note of Information I.
21-2-2025	Send Note of Information I.
11-3-2025, 8:30 am	Deadline and time for submission of tenders.
18-3-2025	Send Verbal of Tenders and written notification of award decision / intention to award
18-3-2025 -> 17-4-2025	Suspension period
18-4-2025	Send final award.
19-4-2025	Start date of agreement.

Please note! The dates and times in bold in the above schedule are final dates. The other dates are indicative and not binding. The Client reserves the right to adjust the schedule in the interim. Tenderers should take this into account.

3.3. Information meeting

An information meeting will be organised as part of the present tender procedure. With regard to this information meeting, the following applies:

- This information session (Tuesday, February 11, 2025, from 2pm to 3.30pm) will take place in Maastricht, Duboisdomein 30, Project Office ET-EMR and can also be attended online.

- Participants in the information session are requested to apply via e-mail to the tender contact person by 7 February 2025. Participants will receive the link for the online meeting after registration.
- During the information meeting, there is an opportunity to ask questions, which will be answered immediately as far as possible. However, for an official answer to the relevant questions, from which rights can be derived, they should be asked (again) as part of the Information Notice (NvI).
- No minutes will be made of the information meeting. Any presentations will be made available after the meeting.

3.4. Note of Information

- One round of questions will be held as part of this tender. Tenderers can ask questions about both the tender procedure and the (performance of the) contract.
- The deadline and time for asking questions is included in the schedule (**section 3.2**).
- Questions can be sent via TenderNed.
- The answers to these questions will be made available anonymously by the scheduled date (**section 3.2**).
- The questions asked and the answers provided to them together constitute the Information Notice.
- The Information Notice is an explicit part of the tender documents.
- Client reserves the right to provide information on its own initiative. This information will then form part of the Information Notice.
- All documents pertaining to this tendering procedure have been compiled with due care. If a Tenderer encounters any inconsistencies, ambiguities and/or inadequacies in the Tender Guidelines (including appendices) and/or the Tender Specifications, the Tenderer should make these known at its earliest convenience or ask questions and/or make any objections known. This is possible up to and including the deadline for submitting questions within the framework of the Information Notice. If the tenderer fails to make use of the opportunity offered, this will be at the tenderer's risk. In that case, both the client and the other tenderers may assume that the tenderer has no objections to the tender procedure and to the provisions and requirements included in the tender documents. By tendering, the tenderer explicitly and unreservedly waives any right to raise objections to the tender procedure and/or to the provisions and requirements included in the tender documents after tendering.

3.5. Method of registration

3.5.1. Documents

The documents below should be supplied at the time of registration:

Document		Annex	Sign
1	Price sheet	Annex 3	Yes
2	European Single Procurement Document (ESPD)	Annex 4	No
3	Reference	N/A	No
4	Project plan	N/A	No

3.5.2. Completing/signing documents

Some of the Annexes to this Guidelines are documents that must be signed at the time of tendering. You should print and sign these documents, after which these documents should be made available to the Client in scanned form. At the Client's request (if any), the winning tenderer should provide the original documents prior to award.

The Price Sheet (**ANNEX 3**) must be *fully* completed and duly *signed*.

3.6. Tender conditions

3.6.1. General conditions

With regard to the tender to be submitted, the following general conditions will be applied:

Legal framework procurement procedure

- This European procurement procedure is subject to Nikhef's Purchasing and Procurement Policy.
- The relevant provisions of Chapter 1 of the Procurement Act 2012 also apply to this tender procedure.
- This procurement procedure is further governed by the Works Procurement Regulations 2016 (ARW2016).
- For the rest, this tender procedure is governed by Dutch law.

Application rules

- This invitation to tender is not a contract nor can it be construed as such. No rights may therefore be derived from this invitation.
- Making a registration is only possible by e-mail through TenderNed.
- Tenders must be submitted by the deadline and time for submission of tenders (= 'the closing date') specified in the schedule (section 3.2).
- A tender must be in accordance with the provisions of the tender documents, including the provisions of: the Tender Guidelines, the Assignment Description (**Annex 1**), the associated appendices and the Note(s) of Information. By submitting a tender, Tenderers declare that they agree to all that the Client has prescribed in the Tender Documents.
- A tender with conditions attached or which does not comply with the rules, requirements and conditions set out in the tender documents is **invalid**.
- Subject to the statutory power, but not a duty, of the principal to request (further) information and to enable a tenderer to rectify certain shortcomings in its tender, tenders that do not comply with the stipulated regulations, requirements and conditions, as included in tender documents (Tender Guidelines, Tender Description, etc.) are **invalid**.
- The Client conforms to the provisions of the Tender Cost Reimbursement Guide (October 2018). Pursuant to the provisions of this Guide, the Client will not incur any costs for a tender. In the event that a tender does not result in an award, there will therefore be no entitlement to reimbursement of any costs incurred.
- Tenders should be valid for 30 (thirty) days from the deadline for receipt of tenders. In the event of summary proceedings in connection with this tender procedure, the validity of the submitted tender shall be automatically extended to eight days after the ruling in summary proceedings.
- Appendices are attached to these Tender Guidelines which must be completed in full and/or the questions set out therein must be answered in full, both generally and specifically. If the tenderer decides not (or not able to) answer a question, it must indicate this explicitly and with reasons, before the deadline and time mentioned in the schedule for submitting questions in the context of the Memorandum of Information I. It is emphasized that the commissioning authority reserves the right not to further consider incomplete tenders.
- The risk of missing information and/or answers due to incorrect or incomplete adoption of statements, data and declarations rests with the Tenderer. Depending on the nature and extent of the omission or inaccuracy, this may result in exclusion.
- The documents or data provided by bidders upon submission or otherwise in connection with the present tender procedure will not be returned upon completion;
- In performing the work, the Contractor guarantees that no infringement of any copyright or any other proprietary right of third parties will be made. The Contractor shall indemnify and hold the Client harmless for all damages and costs arising from any infringement or alleged infringement of any third party property right.

- If any changes occur or threaten to occur in your business operations that affect the progress of the tender, you should notify the contact person of this tender as soon as possible.
- Where brand names and/or versions are used in these Tender Guidelines, the Tender Specifications (**ANNEX 1**), the other appendices and/or Memorandum(s) of Information, or where standards, programmes, patents or types, or a certain origin or production are indicated, these should be read with the addition: "*or equivalent*".

3.6.2. Reserve

The Client reserves the right until the moment of final award, without more and without being liable for damages in any way (for example, for: compensation of tender costs, lost profit or other damages):

- suspend or abort the tender procedure in the interim;
- change the timing of the tender process and/or the contract;
- not to award the contract at all.

The client therefore has no obligation to award the contract. By submitting a tender, the tenderer agrees to this reservation.

3.7. Confidentiality and privacy statement

3.7.1. Procurement confidentiality

All information provided and received in the context of this tender will be treated as confidential. This publication may only be used in the context of this tendering procedure. All tenders received under the tender become the property of Nikhef upon receipt and will also be treated confidentially.

3.8. Taxation, environmental protection, labour protection and working conditions obligations

Tenderers are obliged to comply with the laws and regulations in force in the Netherlands on taxation, environmental protection, labour protection and working conditions. Further information in this regard is available from:

- Inland Revenue, for tax provisions: www.belastingdienst.nl
- Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment, for provisions on environmental protection: www.rijksoverheid.nl/ministeries/ienm
- Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment, for provisions on employment protection and working conditions: www.rijksoverheid.nl/ministeries/szw, such as: the Foreign Nationals (Employment) Act (WaV)¹ and the Act on Tackling Shadow Constructions (WaS)².

3.9. Minutes of opening of tenders

The principal shall prepare a record of the opening of tenders received in time. This official record will be sent to all tenderers as soon as possible after the opening of tenders.

¹ See also: <https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0007149/2018-01-01>

² See also: <https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0036706/2017-07-01>
Nikhef | European tender | Feasibility study Einstein Telescope | P2.1_111_V2.0
| 28-1-2025

4. Eligibility requirements

There is 1 eligibility requirement under this tender procedure. Bidders must meet this suitability requirement.

4.1. Eligibility requirement: reference(s)

For the performance of the contract, a Tenderer must possess sufficient technical and professional competence. In this context, a Tenderer should possess the core competencies listed below, which should be demonstrated by submitting **references**.

Core competences

- Conducting core drilling up to 500 m1 depth in highly variable rock types and layers (see descriptive document).
- Installing a monitoring well with filter racking to a depth of 500 m.
- Installing a waterproof enclosure for a deep seismic noise measurement station.
- The company / subcontractor has experience with the described tests/measurements/logging in/of deep boreholes.
- The company has a company-level safety certificate for the work required

5. Award criterion and methodology

5.1. Award criterion

The award criterion for this tender is the **Economically Most Advantageous Tender**, which in this tender is determined on the basis of **Best Price-Quality Ratio ("BPQV")**. Tenders will be assessed against this award criterion.

Award will therefore be made to the tenderer:

- whose bid meets the minimum requirements, (technical) specifications, standards and conditions set out in the tender documents;
- who meets the specified suitability requirements;
- which offered the Best Price-Quality Ratio.

5.2. Assessment methodology

First, all timely submitted tenders will be checked for completeness. An incomplete tender may be set aside as invalid. The Contracting Authority may demand a supplement to and/or clarification of the tender or request the submission of certain missing documents/documents, to the extent that this is not contrary to the principles of procurement law. The Client is not obliged to do so.

Once the tender has shown that it meets the minimum requirements and specifications set out in the tender documents, the tender will be assessed on the award criterion (Best Price-Quality Ratio).

When assessing for Quality, an assessment team has been set up. The members of the assessment team first assess each tender individually on Quality. One or more plenary sessions of the assessment team then take place, during which the final assessment of the tenders on Quality is determined by consensus.

The assessment committee will not take notice of the Prize until after the assessment on Quality has been completed.

5.3. Best value for money

In assessing the tenders, points are awarded for Price and for Quality. The tender with the highest combined score for Quality and Price will be considered the tender with the Best Price-Quality Ratio.

If several tenderers finish exactly equal, the tender with the highest score for Quality will be regarded as the tender with the Best Price-Quality Ratio. In the unlikely event that several tenderers finish exactly equal on Price and Quality, a draw will be held between them.

Tenders will be evaluated on the basis of the following weighting and scoring:

Sub-award criteria		Maximum number of points	Weighting
1	Price	100 points	40 %
2	Quality	100 points	60 %
			100,00%

5.4. Sub-award criterion Price

A maximum of 100 points can be obtained for the sub-award criterion Price. The tender with the lowest tender sum obtains the maximum number of points for the sub-award criterion price, namely: 100 points. Subsequent tenders with their higher tender sums achieve lower scores in accordance with the formula below:

$$Score = \left(\frac{\text{Lowest Price "LP"}}{\text{Price registrant "Pr"}} \right) \times 100 \text{ points}$$

Negative scores will not be awarded, the lowest possible score is 0 points. If applicable, awarded scores will be rounded to 2 decimal places. To complete the prizes, please use the Price Sheet attached as **ANNEX 3**.

5.5. Sub-award criterion Quality

A maximum of 100 **points** can be obtained for the sub-award criterion Quality. The sub-award criterion Quality will be assessed against 1 further sub-award criterion, viz:

Sub-award criteria Quality		Maximum number of points	Weighting
1	Project plan, including - Risk management - Quality assurance - Robustness of planning	100 points	60,00%
Maximum number of points		100 points	60,00%

Per sub-award criterion Quality, the following assessments are possible:

Review	Insufficient	Sufficient	Ample	Good	Excellent
1 Project plan	0 pnt.	40 pnt.	60 pnt.	80 pnt.	100 pnt.

Bidders scoring an '**insufficient**' for the above sub-award criterion on Quality, i.e. 0 points, will be excluded from further participation in the tender. Scoring an insufficient score for the sub-award criterion on Quality is thus considered a so-called '**knock-out**' criterion.

5.6. Sub-award criterion 1: Project plan

Each tenderer should submit a Project plan, describing how it intends to implement the contract. In doing so, a tenderer should answer at least the following questions:

Subject	Notes
1 Project approach	Project Approach Describe—after studying the Assignment Description—your proposed project approach regarding the execution of the assignment. Technical approach: Provide an overview of the methodology, techniques, and processes you will apply to successfully complete the assignment, including a project specific maintenance plan. Environmental factors: Explain how you will address the specific characteristics of the sites, such as Natura 2000 areas, quiet zones, nature reserves, water catchment areas, and groundwater protection zones. Specify the measures you will take to prevent or minimize negative impacts on these areas. Daily Report: A sample daily report should be submitted as an annex to the project plan. Information on the loss of drilling fluid should be part of the report.
2 Risk management	Risk identification: What risks do you foresee that could impact the success of the assignment? Provide a analysis. The analysis must include, but is not limited to: • Geological risks such as collapsing formations, groundwater inflow, loss of drilling equipment, drilling fluid, unexpected geological conditions; • Logistical risks such as access to remote and challenging terrains; • Operational risks; • Health and Safety risks; • Environmental risks. Mitigation measures: What (management) measures do you propose to manage and mitigate these risks? Additionally, outline your approach to the risks identified in the Assignment Description. Proactive approach: Discuss how you will integrate risk management into the project and take proactive steps to prevent potential problems.
3 Quality assurance	Ensuring quality: How will you ensure the requested quality as described in the Assignment Description, considering both foreseen and unforeseen circumstances? Control mechanisms: Describe the methods and tools you will use to continuously monitor the quality of the work and make adjustments where necessary. Continuous improvement: Explain how you will implement a process of continuous improvement to ensure that the results consistently meet the client's requirements. CVs: Provide CVs of the drill masters and crew members, highlighting their qualifications, experience, and relevance to the project
4 Robustness of planning	Approach to planning: Explain how you intend to develop and manage a detailed project timeline to ensure the assignment is delivered on schedule. Include key milestones, dependencies, and decision points.

	Contingency measures: Describe how you will account for potential delays caused by unforeseen events (e.g., adverse weather conditions, site access issues, stakeholder interference). Include examples of contingency planning techniques and how you plan to mitigate impacts. Resource allocation: Outline how you will ensure sufficient resources (personnel, equipment, materials) are available and adaptable to changes or unexpected demands in the project. Monitoring and adjustment: Explain how you will continuously monitor project progress, address bottlenecks, and reallocate tasks or resources when needed to stay on track with the project schedule. Communication plan: Highlight how you will maintain effective communication with stakeholders to ensure early identification and resolution of issues that could affect project timing.
--	---

5.7. Assessment of sub-award criteria Quality

Each of the Quality sub-award criteria will be assessed by the evaluation committee as follows:

- **Insufficient (knockout)**
 - The Subsection does not provide an adequate picture of the interpretation proposed by the tenderer.
 - The Component is not fully described. The tenderer does not address or insufficiently addresses the requested aspects, resulting in an incomplete picture.
 - The Component is incomplete and/or insufficiently elaborated on essential points.
 - The Part is not realistic.
 - By means of the interpretation described in the Subsection, the tenderer stands out negatively from the other tenderers.
 - The Component does not or hardly meet the client's expectations.
- **Sufficient**
 - The Component provides a sufficient picture of the interpretation proposed by the tenderer, but otherwise offers little or no added value/added value.
 - The Component is described in sufficient detail. The tenderer addresses most of the requested aspects.
 - The Component is sufficiently elaborated on the most essential points.
 - The interpretation described in the Component is sufficiently clear and relevant to the assignment.
 - The Component is in sufficient realism on the most essential points.
 - By means of the interpretation described in the Subsection, the tenderer does not distinguish itself negatively or positively from the other tenderers.
 - The Component meets the client's expectations to a limited extent.
- **Ample**
 - The Component provides an ample picture of the infill proposed by the tenderer and also provides some added value/added value.
 - The Component is predominantly fully described. The tenderer addresses almost all requested aspects.
 - The Component is more than adequately developed.
 - The interpretation described in the Component is clear and relevant to the assignment.

- The Component is realistic on almost all counts.
 - Through the fulfilment described in the Component, the tenderer distinguishes itself positively from the other tenderers in parts.
 - The Component largely meets the client's expectations.
- **Good**
 - The Component provides a good and complete picture of the interpretation proposed by the tenderer and clearly adds value/added value and/or is innovative to some extent.
 - The Component is fully described. The tenderer addresses all requested aspects.
 - The Component is comprehensively elaborated on the requested points.
 - The interpretation described in the Component is clear and relevant to the assignment.
 - The Part is realistic.
 - The fulfilment described in the Component demonstrates that the tenderer provides a good quality of service.
 - Through the fulfilment described in the Component, the Tenderer stands out positively from the other tenderers.
 - The Component meets the client's expectations.
- **Excellent**
 - The Component provides an excellent and very complete picture of the interpretation proposed by the tenderer and also offers a lot of added value/added value and/or is innovative.
 - The Component is fully described. The Tenderer addresses all requested aspects and also contributes additional relevant information.
 - The Component is very comprehensive on the requested points.
 - The Part is realistic.
 - The fulfilment described in the Component demonstrates that the tenderer provides excellent and high quality services.
 - Through the interpretation described in the Subsection, the tenderer stands out very positively from the other tenderers.
 - The Component fully meets or exceeds the client's expectations.

5.8. Notice of award decision (Intention to award)

Before the contract is (definitively) awarded to the tenderer with the Best Price-Quality Ratio, the client first sends a so-called *intention to award*. (This is the *notification of the award decision* as referred to in Article 2.130 of the Dutch Procurement Act 2012). This intention to award does not yet imply a final award of the contract. The expression of the intention to award therefore does not yet create a contract, as referred to in Article 6:217 of the Dutch Civil Code. The following applies to the announcement of the award decision:

- a. The tenderer to whom the client intends to award the contract will receive a written request to provide the evidence within 10 (ten) calendar days.
- b. This evidence should be provided within *10 (ten) calendar days* from the date of request.
- c. If the assessment of the evidence received shows that the tenderer (still) does not comply, the client will revoke the award decision.
- d. In the event of a situation as referred to under c., the Client may redetermine which tenderer has submitted the Economically Most Advantageous Tender. The Client will then announce a new intention to award.
- e. Unsuccessful tenderers will receive a reason for rejection. This rejection will be sent simultaneously with the intention to award the contract to the successful tenderer. If a tenderer cannot agree with the rejection

or exclusion, it must lodge an application for interim relief with the Interim Injunction Judge at the Limburg District Court, location Maastricht, no later than 10 (ten) calendar days after the date of dispatch of the intention to award the contract. This period of ten calendar days is also referred to as the suspension period or standstill period. If the preliminary relief proceedings are not commenced within the stipulated period of ten days, the claim will be declared inadmissible.

- f. By submitting a tender, Tenderers accept a contractual sunset clause, being a ten-calendar-day sunset period for bringing claims related to the present tender. Tenderers also accept to be inadmissible in their claims and to have processed them, should the interlocutory proceedings referred to under b. above be instituted after the aforementioned period of (10) ten calendar days. The limitation period ensures the expediency of the proceedings and it protects the legitimate interests of, inter alia, the winning tenderer in legal certainty.